

# Turkish Students' Views on Environmental Challenges with respect to Gender: An Analysis of ROSE Data

Bulent Cavas\*, *Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey;* Pınar Cavas, *Ege University, Turkey;* Ceren Tekkaya, *Middle East Technical University, Turkey;* Jale Cakiroglu, *Middle East Technical University, Turkey;* Teoman Kesercioglu, *Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey* 

Received September, 28, 2009; December, 27, 2009

#### Abstract

This paper examined high school students' attitudes toward the environment and their interest in learning about environmental protection with respect to gender. The questionnaire-based Relevance of Science Education (ROSE) Project data of 9th grade students were collected in Turkey from 1,260 students. Statistical analysis included tabulation of frequency distribution, descriptive statistics chi-square and multivariate analysis of variance. The findings of this study revealed that (i) Turkish students have favourable attitudes toward environmental issues, (ii) students are eager to find solutions to environmental problems and show optimistic trends about the future, (iii) students' interests in learning about environmental protection issues are moderate level, and (iv) statistically significant differences were found in environmental attitudes and interests in learning about environmental protection mean scores of students regarding gender. The authors offer suggestions, based on the results, for further research to identify reasons for differences between boys and girls so as to improve environmental education in Turkish schools.

**Key words:** attitudes toward environment, interest, environmental protection, gender, high school students, Relevance of Science Education.

## Introduction

Investigating individuals' attitudes towards the environment has had a long tradition in environmental education research. Studies generally tended to examine students' environment attitudes in relation to environmental knowledge, behaviour as well as socio-economic variables (e.g., Gooch, 1995; Worsley & Skrzypiec 1998; Bergh, & Huub Van Den Lagerweij, 1999; Eagle & Demare, 1999; Kuhlemeier, Leung & Rice, 2002; Huang & Yore, 2003; Makki, Abd-El-Khalick, & Boujaoude, 2003; Negev, Sagy, Garb, Salzeberg & Tal, 2008). In one of the earlier study, Hess-Quimbita and Pavel (1996) examined the factors affecting the college students' environmental attitude in relation to demographic variables, socio-economic status, and institutional characteristics. Their results revealed that male and liberal students were more likely to develop favourable attitudes toward the environment. They also reported that the number of science courses and human ethical/social activist values play crucial roles in the improvement of favourable environmental attitudes. In another study,

\_

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence e-mail: bulent.cavas@gmail.com

Bradley, Waliczek, and Zajicek (1999) assessed high school students' environmental knowledge and attitudes before and after exposure to a 10-day environmental science course. Analyses suggested statistically significant differences in both knowledge gained and attitudes of students after the course. Moreover, a statistically significant relationship was reported between knowledge and attitude scores. Students who held higher knowledge scores were found to have more positive attitudes toward the environment than students having lower knowledge scores. In a similar study, Lemming and Porter (1997) found a significant effect from the Caretaker Classroom Program, which was aimed to encourage elementary students to engage in pro-environmental activities, on students' attitudes toward the environment. Research by Kuhlemeier, Bergh, and Huub Van Den Lagerweij (1999) undertaken by examining Dutch secondary education students' environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours indicated that the participants generally held favourable attitude toward the had incorrect environmental knowledge and expressed inadequate environmentally responsible behaviour. In her study, Weaver (2002) conducted a crossnational research to examine the effects of background characteristics, religious orientation, political value orientation, and knowledge on pro-environmental attitudes. Environmental knowledge, scientific knowledge, belief in the sacredness of nature, and liberal values were found to be significant determinants of pro-environmental attitudes among participant countries (i.e. West Germany, Japan, Great Britain, Russia and United States). In another multi-country research, DeChano (2006) examined the relationship between high school students' environmental knowledge and attitude. Her study showed that although participants had a favourable attitude toward the environment, they had a low level of environmental knowledge. In a comparative study by Huang and Yore (2004), they reported that both Canadian and Taiwanese 5<sup>th</sup> grade students held positive behaviour and attitudes towards the environment. Moreover, they expressed a high emotional disposition toward the environment and high concern about environmental problems and issues as well as a moderate level of environmental knowledge. Makki, Abd-El-Khalick, and Boujaoude's (2003) study showed that Lebanese high school students held positive environmental attitudes but had inadequate environment knowledge.

As part of ROSE (The Relevance of Science Education) study<sup>†</sup>, Schreiner and Sjøberg (2005) examined how students relate to environmental challenges. They found that students did not seem to be pessimistic about the global future. They put trust in themselves that they personally could influence what happens with the environment. However, the study showed no significant gender differences regarding environmental issues. By using ROSE data, Uitti, Juuti, Lavonen, and Meisalo (2004) examined the Finnish students' environmental attitudes with respect to four factors, namely, positive attitudes towards environmental responsibility, negative attitudes towards environmental responsibility, nature-centric attitudes towards environmental values. While girls' attitudes towards environmental responsibility were found to be higher than those of boys, the girls' negative attitudes were reported to be lower than those of the boys. Jenkins and Pell's (2006) study with English students indicated statistically significant effect of gender on environmental attitudes and environmental concern.

Young students' environmental attitudes were also investigated by many Turkish researchers (e.g., Alp, Ertepinar, Tekkaya, & Yilmaz, 2007; Taskin, 2009; Tuncer, Ertepinar, Tekkaya &

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This paper is based on data gathered in Turkey through the international ROSE Project (The Relevance of Science Education) which has about 40 participating countries. It was initiated by Svein Sjøberg and Camilla Schreiner at the University of Oslo and was supported by the Research Council of Norway. Reports and details are available at http://www.ils.uio.no/english/rose/

Sungur, 2005; Uzun & Saglam, 2005; 2007; Yilmaz, Boone & Andersen, 2004). In one of these studies, Yilmaz, Boone and Andersen (2004) reported that students attending elementary school had positive attitudes toward population growth and energy conservation. They found that young students in Turkey tended to agree with the importance of environmental education and the need for an emphasis on pollution, soil erosion, and prevention of habitat destruction. These students were not willing to give environmental protection precedence over economical growth and industrialization although they emphasized the importance of environmental issues. This study also indicated a significant gender difference in environmental attitudes in favour of girls. Later, Tuncer, Ertepinar, Tekkaya and Sungur (2005) revisited Turkish elementary and secondary school students' attitudes toward the environment by using a questionnaire including four dimensions, namely, awareness of environmental problems, national environmental problems, solutions to the problems and awareness of individual responsibility. Tuncer and others stated that respondents were not in a position to differentiate between economic growth, industrialization and environmental protection. Participants considered the importance of individual responsibility in protecting environmental degradation yet were unable to grasp the link between life-styles and environmental concern. In a separate study, Alp, Ertepinar, Tekkaya, and Yilmaz (2007) revealed a statistically significant effect of grade level on students' environmental knowledge and attitudes. The effect of gender on attitudes toward the environment was found to be statistically significant in favour of girls. More recently. Taskin (2009) demonstrated that high school students' attending public high schools, girls, lower and middle class students, students with well educated parents in white-collar professions, and those with liberal parents reported to have more pro-environmental attitudes compared to others.

Although environmental issues are of considerable interest to Turkish researchers, almost no study has been realized to find out the current status of Turkish students' attitudes towards environmental problems nationwide. The present study, therefore, aims to examine high school students' views on environmental challenges as surveyed in the ROSE questionnaire and to determine how these views change with respect to gender.

## **Research questions**

This study aims to explore ninth grade students' attitudes toward the environment and their interest in learning about environmental protection with respect to gender. This study therefore addresses the following research questions:

- 1. What are students' attitudes toward the environment?
- 2. What are the students' levels of interest in learning about environmental protection issues?
- 3. Is there any difference between mean scores for boys' and girls' on test items related to determining attitudes toward the environment?
- 4. Are there any differences between the mean scores of boys and girls on the interest in learning about environmental protection issues?

#### Method

In this study, The Relevance of Science Education (ROSE) questionnaire<sup>‡</sup> was used to obtain data from students who have finished their compulsory schooling. ROSE questionnaire is a Likert-type scale and includes "What I want to learn about" "My future job", "Me and the environmental challenges", "My science classes", "My opinion about science and

<sup>\*</sup> ROSE questionnaire is avaliable at http://www.ils.uio.no/english/rose/key-documents/key-docs/master-rose-q.doc

technology", "My out-of-school experiences" and "Me as a scientist requires students" sections. In the present study, Turkish students' attitude scores were obtained from "Me and the environmental challenges" section "D" (18 items) and 9 items related to environmental protection from "What I want to learn about" section "E" of the ROSE questionnaire. These nine items were selected according to the classification of the ROSE items undertaken by Schreiner and Sjoberg (2004). It is expected that these parts of ROSE questionnaire will shed light on how young students relate to environmental issues and its protection. Students were asked to indicate their response by putting tick marks in the appropriate column ranging from disagree to agree, scoring from 1 to 4.

## Sample

The ROSE questionnaire was translated from English to Turkish by five researchers working in the fields of Science Education, Turkish language and English language. The Turkish version of the ROSE questionnaire was conducted in 63 high schools from 21 cities which are located at seven different geographic regions in the 2003-2004 teaching semester. The selection of cities in each region was designed according to the socio-economic structure of each city (low, moderate and high GDP rate). The questionnaires were sent to schools officially by the Educational Research and Development Centre (EARGED) of the Ministry of Education in the framework of an agreement between Dokuz Eylul University and the Ministry of Education. The resulting data set was not collected in different cities and all regions of Turkey. For that reason, the sample of the study does not fully represent the Turkish population. Therefore, the results cannot be totally generalized to the country population as a whole. On the other hand, there is a centralized national curriculum throughout the country which provides some degree of uniformity across schools in Turkey. Application of the questionnaires in the classroom took one-class hour. The sample of the study consists of 1260 students (680 girls and 580 boys) who were enrolled in the 9<sup>th</sup> grade.

## **Data Analyses**

In this study, data analyses were conducted both in regard to items and total scores. Descriptive statistics, agreement index, Chi-Square test and one-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) were used to analyse data.

#### **Results**

Table 1 presents the percentages of the agreement, disagreement and agreement index related to statements of the "Me and the Environmental Challenges" section according to gender. Agreement index was calculated as percentage agreeing minus the percentage disagreeing. Gender differences were also examined by using Chi-Square test to present differences in each item.

As shown in the Table 1, both boys and girls expressed favourable attitudes in general towards the environment ( $\overline{X}$  =2.95, SD= 0.29 for girls and  $\overline{X}$  = 2.81, SD=0.63 for boys). Participants strongly agreed (above 80%) with different items such as finding solutions to environmental problems, caring more about protection of the environment and contributing to environmental protection personally. The highest disagreements (above 70%) were found in the items related to environmental threats, the exaggeration of environmental problems and hopes and visions for the future. Concerning gender difference, a statistically significant difference in favour of girls was found for most items. For example, more girls then boys agreed that "Environmental problems make the future of the world look bleak"; "I think each of us can make a significant contribution to environmental protection" and "People should care more about protection of the environment". Similarly, compared to boys, girls disagreed

with the statements that "Threats to the environment are not my business"; "Environmental problems are exaggerated" and "Environmental problems should be left to the experts".

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of "Me and the environmental challenges"

| Table 1. Descriptive Statistics  | Girls (N=680) |       |                        | Boys (N=580) |       |                        | Chi-           |
|--|---------------|-------|------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------------|----------------|
| Items  | Disagree      | Agree | Agreement<br>Index (%) | Disagree     | Agree | Agreement<br>Index (%) | Square<br>Test |
| D1. Threats to the environment are not my business   | 79.1          | 19.7  | -59.4                  | 72.6         | 26.9  | -45.7                  | 0.001*         |
| D2. Environmental problems make the future of the world look bleak                                   | 24.5          | 73.8  | 49.3                   | 32.7         | 66.2  | 33.5                   | 0.000*         |
| D3. Environmental problems are exaggerated   | 78.4          | 19.9  | -58.5                  | 67.9         | 29.8  | -38.1                  | 0.000*         |
| D4. Science and technology can solve all environmental problems                                      | 44.3          | 52.7  | 8.4                    | 41.2         | 56    | 14.8                   | 0.655          |
| D5. I am willing to have environmental problems solved even if this means sacrificing many goods     | 17.5          | 80.9  | 63.4                   | 26.2         | 70.7  | 44.5                   | 0.000*         |
| D6. I can personally influence what happens with the environment                                     | 42.7          | 53.8  | 11.1                   | 43.8         | 52.2  | 8.4                    | 0.535          |
| D7. We can still find solutions to our environmental problems  | 13.3          | 85    | 71.7                   | 21.6         | 76.2  | 54.6                   | 0.000*         |
| D8. People worry too much about environmental problems   | 56.5          | 41.1  | -15.4                  | 47.3         | 48.9  | 1.6                    | 0.001*         |
| D9. Environmental problems can be solved without big changes in our way of living                    | 33.6          | 64    | 30.4                   | 38.8         | 57    | 18.2                   | 0.004*         |
| D10. People should care more about protection of the environment                                     | 5.9           | 93.1  | 87.2                   | 12.6         | 83.3  | 70.7                   | 0.000*         |
| D11. It is the responsibility of the rich countries to solve the environmental problems of the world | 83.5          | 15    | -68.5                  | 68.2         | 28.9  | -39.3                  | 0.000*         |
| D12. I think each of us can make a significant contribution to environmental protection              | 8.5           | 89.8  | 81.3                   | 15.7         | 81    | 65.3                   | 0.000*         |
| D13. Environmental problems should be left to the experts  | 81.8          | 16.8  | -65                    | 67.6         | 29.3  | -38.3                  | 0.000*         |
| D14. I am optimistic about the future  | 42            | 56.2  | 14.2                   | 41.7         | 55    | 13.3                   | 0.469          |
| D15. Animals should have the same right to life as people  | 52.9          | 44    | -8.9                   | 51.5         | 45.6  | -5.9                   | 0.779          |
| D16. It is right to use animals in medical experiments if this can save human lives                  | 38.6          | 48.2  | 9.6                    | 40.1         | 45.6  | 5.5                    | 0.114          |
| D17. Almost all human activity is sacrified for the sake of environment                              | 57.5          | 32.9  | -24.6                  | 53.6         | 39.7  | -13.9                  | 0.001*         |
| D18. The natural world is sacred and should be left in peace   | 9.3           | 86.3  | 77                     | 14           | 83.3  | 69.3                   | 0.000*         |

<sup>\*</sup> p<0.05

As can be seen from Table 1, there was only one statement in which girls and boys expressed different belief. While girls did not agree with the statement that "People worry too much about environmental problems", boys agreed with this statement.

Table 2 depicts students' mean scores and differences in environment-related items with respect to gender. Results show that students' interests in environmental protection based issues were at a moderate level (M=2.72, SD=0.30). Generally, boys were more likely to be interested in environmental protection issues than girls (M=2.77, SD=0.30 for girls and M=2.84, SD=0.61 for boys). Especially boys tended to learn about effective usage and new sources of energy and farming. Only in two topics do, girls had higher mean scores than boys. These topics included ensuring clean air and safe drinking water, and protecting endangered species of animals.

 Table 2. Students' Interests in Learning about Environmental Protection Items

|  | Gi   | irls  | Во   | Chi-  |                |
|--|------|-------|------|-------|----------------|
| Items  | M    | SD    | M    | SD    | Square<br>Test |
| E3. The ozone layer and how it may be affected by humans                                 | 2.95 | 1.054 | 2.96 | 1.033 | 0.803          |
| E4. The greenhouse effect and how it may be changed by humans                            | 2.31 | 1.047 | 2.53 | 1.055 | 0.000*         |
| E5. What can be done to ensure clean air and safe drinking water                         | 3.08 | 1.008 | 2.96 | 1.012 | 0.028*         |
| E6. How technology helps us to handle waste, garbage and sewage                          | 2.64 | 1.071 | 2.67 | 1.048 | 0.566          |
| E16. How to protect endangered species of animals  | 3.14 | .985  | 3.13 | .966  | 0.833          |
| E19. Organic and ecological farming without use of pesticides and artificial fertilizers | 2.20 | 1.083 | 2.44 | 1.081 | 0.000*         |
| E20. How energy can be saved or used in a more effective way                             | 2.71 | 1.088 | 2.94 | 1.001 | 0.000*         |
| E21. New sources of energy from the sun, wind, tides, waves, etc.                        | 2.77 | 1.074 | 3.00 | 1.023 | 0.000*         |
| E33. Benefits and possible hazards of modern methods of farming                          | 2.10 | 1.058 | 2.48 | 1.089 | 0.000*         |

<sup>\*</sup> p<0.05

A summary of one-way MANOVA results comparing mean scores regarding gender with respect to the collective dependent variables, attitude and interest in learning environmental protection is displayed in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Follow-Up Pairwise Comparisons

| Variables            | Gender | N   | F     | р      | $\eta^2$ |
|----------------------|--------|-----|-------|--------|----------|
| MEC <sup>a</sup>     | Girls  | 680 | 70.25 | 0.000* | 0.05     |
|                      | Boys   | 580 | 70.35 | 0.000* | 0.03     |
| WIWLAEP <sup>b</sup> | Girls  | 680 | 4.36  | 0.037* | 0.003    |
|                      | Boys   | 580 |       |        |          |

<sup>\*</sup> Analysis was performed at a 0.05 significance level

Statistically significant differences were found in both students' mean scores of environmental attitudes and interest in learning environmental protection topics (Wilks'  $\Lambda$ = 0.94, F(2,1257)=39.04, p=0.000, partial eta squared= .06). While boys' interests in learning about environmental protection are higher than girls, girls' attitudes toward environment are more positive than their counterparts. The magnitude of the differences in the interest mean scores was very small (partial eta squared= .01). Therefore, it should be interpreted with caution. However, effect size for the environmental attitudes mean score can be accepted as a moderate effect (partial eta squared= .05) (Cohen, 1988).

## **Conclusions and Implications**

The findings revealed that Turkish students generally have favourable attitudes and interest toward environmental issues. They seemed to be eager to find solutions to environmental problems and show optimistic trends about the future. When Turkish data on attitudes toward environmental issues were compared with the data obtained by the other countries, Turkey had common findings with countries such as Greece, Portugal, India and Spain. Although there are some similarities in the mean scores with other countries, there are significantly

a. Me and Environmental Challenges; b. What I Want to Learn About Environmental Protection

differences with the developed countries such as Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Japan, Finland (Sjøberg, 2008).

As far as gender differences are concerned, the present study indicated a statistically significant gender difference with respect to attitudes toward the environment and interest in learning environmental protection. More specifically, while, girls tended to have more favourable attitudes toward the environment, boys appeared to express higher interests in learning environmental protection. The result related to attitudes toward the environment is in line with other studies reported in the literature (e.g., Huang & Yore, 2004; Tikka et al., 2000; Zelezny et al., 2000). Tikka et al. (2000), for example, these found that girls had more positive environmental attitudes and a sense of responsibility toward the environment. While boys were more likely to emphasize mastering nature and taking benefits from natural resources, girls had more emotional attitude toward nature. A similar result was also found in the studies conducted with different levels of Turkish samples (Tosunoglu 1993; Taskin, 2009; Tuncer et al. 2005; Tuncer et al., 2009; Yilmaz, Boone & Andersen, 2004). For instance, a research conducted by Tuncer et al. (2005) indicated that girls attending middle school showed more environmental concern than did boys. Alp et al.'s study (2006) indicated that compared to boys, girls had more favourable behavioural intentions, environmental friendly behaviours and affects. Available research suggested that orientation of environmental education in Turkey should consider students' attitudes toward the environment based on gender differences. The authors attributed students' positive attitudes toward the environment both to their willingness to preserve nature linked to a strong emotional bonding to animals and to their higher environmental sensitiveness.

One possible explanation for the different tendencies of boys and girls towards the environment was that girls have been traditionally responsible for looking after the home and children. Therefore, such behaviours could be perceived as a way of taking care of their offspring (Tikka et al., 2000). In fact, both socialization-based theories and structural theories proposed in the literature have been used to explain the gender difference in environmental variables. The findings of the current study could be explained by considering the propositions of both theories. Based on socialization theory, girls were directed towards a caregiver role which enabled them to become more nurturing, protective and cooperative compared to boys (see Blocker & Eckberg, 1997). As mentioned by Blocker and Eckberg, this type of 'motherhood mentality' promoted development of protective attitudes towards the environment. On the other side, boys were directed toward an economic provider role which encouraged them to become more rational, masterful and competitive compared to girls. This 'marketplace mentality' promoted the development of a lower level of attitudes that was related to economic growth, technical mastery of the earth and exploitation of resources. Apart from socialization-based theory, structural theory focused on the nature of the occupational and economic position that resulted in gender differences in environmental attitudes of girls and boys (Blocker & Eckberg, 1997). While boys were assigned to the breadwinner role and controlled the techno-scientific realm, girls kept their nurturance roles with the responsibility of housework and childcare. For that reason, boys held favourable orientations toward economic growth which resulted in lower levels of environmental concern. However, girls' nurturance role made them more likely than boys to favour health and safety issues and in turn enhance their environmental concerns (Blocker & Eckberg, 1997; Zelezny, Chua, & Aldrich, 2000).

Findings of the current study concerning students' interest in learning environmental protection supported this argument. Chi-squared analysis revealed that while boys were

interested in effective usage and new sources of energy and farming, girls were interested in ensuring clean air and safe drinking water, and harmful effect of loud sound and noise on hearing. In a similarly vein, Bord and O'Connor (1997) found that girls' concerns about environment was greater than that of boys when the risk to health and personal well being was related to environmental issues. According to Tikka et al. (2000, p.18) "the concern felt by women for nature and the environment could be seen as a way of taking care of their offspring, because a clean and safe environment was a precondition for welfare and survival".

Further studies, however are needed to clarify this result by using multiple methods and measures. Conducting qualitative studies in future research provides more comprehensive information about how students' environmental attitudes are formed and changed. Considering the fact that significant changes in attitudes may occur over a long period of time, longitudinal research is encouraged to investigate deeply an enhancement of Turkish students' environmental attitudes. Findings of the study can be used for the development and improvement of environmental educational programmes in Turkey. It is necessary to further develop positive attitudes of students, especially boys, because all will ultimately be responsible for making decisions about environmental issues and problems. For that reason, educational researchers in Turkey should focus on the disparities between boys and girls and find alternative ways to enhance the environmental attitudes and interest in learning environmental protection in both genders.

#### References

- Alp, E., Ertepinar, H., Tekkaya, C., & Yilmaz, A. (2006). A study on children's environmental knowledge and attitudes: The effect of grade level and gender. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 15, 210-223.
- Blocker, T. J. & Eckberg, D.L. (1997). Gender and environmentalism: Results from the 1993 general social survey. *Social Science Quarterly*, 78, 841-858.
- Bonnet, M. & Williams, J. (1998). Environmental education and primary children's attitudes towards nature and the environment. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 28, 159-175.
- Bradley, J.C., Waliczek, T.M. & Zajicek J.M. (1999). Relationship between Environmental Knowledge and Environmental Attitude of High School Students, *Journal of Environmental Education*, 30(3), 17-21.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- DeChano L. M. 2006. A multi-country examination of the relationship between environmental knowledge and attitudes. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education* 15:15-28.
- Eagles, P., & Demare, R. 1999. Factors influencing children's environmental attitude. *Journal of Environmental Education* 30, 33-38.
- Gooch, G. (1995). Environmental beliefs and attitudes in Sweden and The Baltic States. *Environment and Behavior*, 27, 513-539
- Hess-Quimbita, G. & Pavel, M. (1996). Assesing an environmental attitude development model: factors influencing the V of college students. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, NY.
- Huang, H. & Yore, L. (2003). A comparative study of Canadian and Taiwanese grade 5 children's environmental behaviours, attitudes, concerns, emotional dispositions, and knowledge. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education* 1, 419-448.
- Jenkins, E. W. and Pell, R. G.(2006). "Me and the Environmental Challenges": A survey of English secondary school students' attitudes towards the environment. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28,765-780

- Kuhlemeier, H., Van Den Bergh, H., & Lagerweij, N. (1999). Environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behavior in Dutch secondary education, *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 30(2), 4–14.
- Leeming, F., & Porter, B. (1997). Effects of Participation in Class Activities on Children's Environmental Attitudes and Knowledge. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 28, 33-43.
- Uitto, A., Juuti, K., Lavonen, J., & Meisalo, V. (2004). Who is responsible for sustainable development? Attitudes to environmental challenges: A survey of Finnish 9th grade comprehensive school students. In A. Laine, J., Lavonen, & V. Meisalo (Eds.), Current research on mathematics and science education. Proceedings of the XXI annual symposium of the Finnish Association of Mathematics and Science Education Research. University of Helsinki, Department of Applied Sciences of Education. Research Report 253, 80-102.
- Leung, C. & Rice, R. (2002). Comparision of Chinese-Australian and Anglo-Australian environmental attitudes and behavior. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 30, 251-262.
- Makki, M. H., Abd-El-Khalick F., & Boujaoude S. (2003). Lebanese secondary school students' environmental knowledge and attitude. *Environmental Education Research*, 9, 21-33.
- Negev, M., Sagy, G., Garb, Y., Salzberg, A., & Tal, A. (2008). Evaluating the environmental literacy of Israeli elementary and high school students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 39(2), 3-20.
- Schreiner, C., Sjøberg, S. (2004). Sowing the Seeds of ROSE. Unipub AS, Oslo, Norway.
- Schreiner, C., Sjøberg,S. (2005). Empowered for action? How do young people relate to environmental challenges? In S. Alsop (Ed.), *Beyond Cartesian Dualism. Encountering affect in the teaching and learning of science*. Springer
- Sjøberg, S. (2008). Young people, science and technology: Attitudes, values, interests and possible recruitment. ERT: Brussels
- Taskin, O. 2009. The environmental attitudes of Turkish senior high school students in the context of postmaterialism and the New Environmental Paradigm. *International Journal of Science Education* 31: 481–502.
- Tikka, P.M., Kuitunen, M.T., & Tynys, S.M. (2000). Effect of educational background on students' attitude, activity levels and knowledge concerning environment. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 31, 12-9.
- Tuncer, G., Ertepinar, H., Tekkaya, C. & Sungur, S. (2005). Environmental attitudes of young people in Turkey: Effects of school type and gender. *Environmental Education Research* 11: 215-233
- Tuncer, G., Tekkaya, C., Sungur, S., Cakiroglu, J., Ertepinar, H. & Kaplowitz, M. (2009). Assessing pre-service teachers' environmentl literacy in Turkey as a mean to develop teacher education program. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 29, 426-436
- Tosunoglu, C. (1993). A study on the dimensions and determinants of environmental attitudes. PhD diss., Middle East Technical University.
- Uzun, N., & Saglam, N. (2005). Effect of socio-economic status on environmental awareness and environmental academic success. *Hacettepe University Journal of Education* 29, 194-202
- Uzun, N., & Saglam, N. (2007). The effect of the course "man and environment" and voluntary environmental organisations on secondary school students' knowledge and attitude towards environment *Hacettepe University Journal of Education* 33, 210-218.

- Yılmaz, O., Boone, J.W., & Andersen, O.H. (2004). Views of elementary and middle school Turkish students toward environmental issues. *International Journal of Science Education* 26, 1527-1546.
- UNESCO (1980). Environmental education in the light of the Tbilisi conference. Paris, France.
- Weaver, A.A. (2002). Determinants of environmental attitudes: A five-country comparison. *International Journal of Sociology* 32: 77-108.
- Worsley, A., & Skrzypiec, G. (1998). Environmental attitudes of senior secondary school students in South Australia. *Global Environmental Change* 8, 209-255
- Zelezny, L., Chua, P. P., & Aldrich, C. (2000). Elaborating on gender differences in environmentalism. *Journal of Social Issues*, *56*, 443-457.